- I could and would testify to the matters stated herein.
- 2. On March 13, 2008, I was contacted by several newspaper reporters and television news reporters inquiring about a protest that was occurring at Defendants' residence.

27 DECLARATION OF ELIZABETH TIPPETT IN SUPPORT

24

25

26

3360485_2.DOC

OF DEFENDANTS' OPP. TO VILMA SERRALTA'S MTN. 28 FOR LEAVE TO CONDUCT LTD. EXPEDITED DISCOVERY AND TO PERMIT ENTRY AND INSPEC. OF LAND AND TANG. THINGS CASE No: CV-08-1427 EDL

3.

Mail I received, which is dated March 14, 2008.

6

10 11

9

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

Hendricks contacted me on March 13, 2008, asking about the contents of a draft Complaint and a protest occurring at Defendants' residence. 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the Proof of Service by

A newspaper reporter at the San Francisco Chronicle by the name of Tyche

- 5. I have visited the website cited in paragraph 17 of the Complaint located at http://www.redfin.com/CA/ATHERTON/168-ISABELLA-AVE-94027/home/1617649/mlsListings-635082.
- 6. On the evening of March 13, 2008, I watched television news coverage of the protest at Defendants' residence. The coverage included pictures available at the website cited in paragraph 17 of the Complaint. The coverage also included what appeared to be aerial footage of Defendants' residence.
- 7. On Thursday, May 1, 2008, I spoke with Christina Chung, Counsel for Plaintiff in this matter. Ms. Chung informed me that Plaintiff desired a stipulation to enter and videotape Defendants' home because Plaintiff believed the sale of Defendants' home was imminent. Ms. Chung told me that Plaintiff would file a motion for expedited discovery if Defendants did not agree to the stipulation.
- 8. On Friday, May 2, 2008, I sent Ms. Chung an email stating that I had no reason to believe a sale of Defendants' home was imminent but would confirm with my client. A true and correct copy of my email is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 9. On Monday, May 5, 2008, I spoke with Ms. Chung and conveyed that Defendants were willing to enter into a stipulation to alert them within 72 hours of any accepted offer or planned alterations to the physical structure of the house. Ms. Chung replied that such a stipulation would be insufficient absent an agreement to allow entry and videotaping of Defendants' home after they are alerted. Ms. Chung stated that Plaintiff would file the motion at issue if Defendants did not agree to allow entry and videotaping of Defendants' home. Ms.

-2-

3360485_2.DOC

1	Chung also requested that Defendants stipulate to an expedited briefing and hearing schedule for
2	the motion at issue.
3	10. On Monday, May 5, 2008, I sent Ms. Chung an email stating that Defendants
4	remained willing to alert them within 72 hours of any accepted offer or planned alterations to the
5	physical structure of the house but would not stipulate to entry and videotaping after such an
6	alert. I informed Ms. Chung that we did not believe there is any need for expedited motions at
7	this time. As a gesture of good faith, Defendants agreed to stipulate to an expedited briefing and
8	hearing schedule. A true and correct copy of my email is attached hereto as Exhibit C.
9	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
10	foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on May 19, 2008, in Palo
11	Alto, California.
12	
13	By: <u>/s/ Elizabeth Tippett</u> Elizabeth C. Tippett
14	Elizabeth C. Tippett
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	DECLARATION OF ELIZABETH TIPPETT IN SUPPORT -3- 3360485_2.DOC
	I DECLARATION OF ELIZABETH TIFFETT IN SUPPORT - 1-

OF DEFENDANTS' OPP. TO VILMA SERRALTA'S MTN. FOR LEAVE TO CONDUCT LTD. EXPEDITED DISCOVERY AND TO PERMIT ENTRY AND INSPEC. OF LAND AND TANG. THINGS CASE No: CV-08-1427 EDL

EXHIBIT A

Case 4:08-cv-01427-CW Document 27-2 Filed 05/19/2008

Page 2 of 3

Ann Blankenship - Paralegal

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

EXHIBIT B

From:

Tippett, Elizabeth

Sent: To: Friday, May 02, 2008 2:32 PM Christina Chung

Cc:

Rosales, Ulrico

Subject:

Serralta v. Khan

Dear Christina,

I have not been able to reach the Khans. As soon as I am able to reach them, I will ask them if the sale of their home is imminent or whether it is even under contract. At this point, I have no reason to believe that is the case. I will get back to you no later than Monday.

Thanks, Liz

Elizabeth Tippett WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304 direct: (650) 565-3759 fax: (650) 493-6811

EXHIBIT C

From:

Tippett, Elizabeth

Sent:

Monday, May 05, 2008 3:32 PM

To: Cc: Subject: Christina Chung Rosales, Ulrico Serralta v. Khan

Dear Christina.

I have consulted with my client. As we discussed, although the Khans are amenable to a stipulation whereby they would alert you within 72 hours of any accepted offer or planned alterations to the physical structure of the house, they are not willing to stipulate that your client can inspect and videotape their home.

If you decide to bring a motion for expedited discovery, we would be willing to agree to a shortened briefing and hearing schedule whereby we would have 15 days to file our opposition from the date upon which your motion is served, following by a hearing on May 30, 2008 or as soon thereafter as a hearing date can be scheduled. Our offer for a shortened briefing schedule should not serve to prejudice our desire to otherwise follow the timing and sequence of discovery in this matter under the federal rules. As stated, we do not believe there is any need for expedited motions at this time, and these matters can be heard in due course.

Thanks, Liz

Elizabeth Tippett WILSON SONSIN! GOODRICH & ROSATI 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304 direct: (650) 565-3759 fax: (650) 493-6811